CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

## MAR 25 2016

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Benigno Del Barrio, Deputy

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL CIVIL WEST

MILES HOUZE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, SUSAN HOUZE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, KEVIN NGAI, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, MARCIA PRICE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, HENRY OKONKWO, individually and j on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

BRASSCRAFT MANUFACTURING COMPANY, a Michigan corporation, EZ-FLO INTERNATIONAL, INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 1,000, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: BC493276

Assigned for all Purposes to: Judge: Hon. Jane Johnson Dept.: 308

PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER PROVAL OF SETTLEMENT, ERTIFYING PROVISIONAL TTLEMENT CLASS, POINTING SETTLÉMENT ASS COUNSEL, SETTING HEARING ON FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT, AND DIRECTING NOTICE TO THE **CLASS** 

DATE: March 14, 2016

TIME: 1:45 p.m. DEPT. 308

Action Filed: October 4, 2012

None Set Trial Date:

28

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

## **AMENDED ORDER**

Pursuant to California Rules of Court Rule 3.769(c), Plaintiffs Miles Houze, et al., on behalf of themselves and a nationwide class of others similarly situated, (collectively "Plaintiffs") moved this Court for an Order preliminarily approving their settlement, certifying a provisional settlement class, appointing settlement class counsel, setting a hearing on the final approval of the settlement, and directing notice to the class (the "Motion"). Defendant BrassCraft Manufacturing Company ("Defendant BrassCraft") joined in Plaintiffs' request for an order preliminarily approving the settlement between Plaintiffs and BrassCraft.

Upon consideration of the Motion, Plaintiffs and BrassCraft's Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release and all exhibits thereto (collectively, the "Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"), Supplemental Briefing in Support of Motion, the materials previously submitted in this case, the arguments of counsel, and other materials relevant to this matter, and good cause appearing therefore,

## THE COURT HEREBY FINDS AND ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement based upon the terms set forth in the Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release filed herewith.
- 2. The terms of the Settlement Agreement are sufficiently fair, reasonable, and adequate to allow dissemination of notice according to the Notice Plan attached as Exhibit 5 to the Settlement Agreement ("Notice Plan"). Specifically, the Court approves the Notice Plan and approves, as to form and content, (1) the Settlement Claim Form attached as Exhibit 1 to the Settlement Agreement ("Claim Form"), (2) the mailed notice attached hereto as Exhibit 1 in redline format ("Mailed Notice"), and (3) the published notice attached hereto as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To the extent capitalized terms are not defined in this Order, they shall have the meaning set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

Exhibit 2 in redline format ("Published Notice"). This determination is not a final finding that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, but instead is a determination that there is reasonable cause to submit the proposed Settlement Agreement to Settlement Class Members and to hold a hearing on the fairness of the proposed settlement and on the final approval of the settlement ("Fairness Hearing"), and ultimately approve the Settlement.

- 3. The Court hereby approves the provisions for disseminating the above materials substantially as described in the Notice Plan attached as Exhibit 5 to the Settlement Agreement. These materials (a) provide the best practicable notice, (b) are reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the action, the terms of the proposed settlement, and of their right to appear, object to, or exclude themselves from the proposed settlement, (c) are reasonable and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice, and (d) comply fully with the requirements of the California Rules of Court, the California Code of Civil Procedure, the California Civil Code, the Constitution of the State of California, the United States Constitution, and any other applicable law.
- 4. The Notice Administrator shall be responsible for providing notice of the proposed settlement to the Settlement Class Members in accordance with the provisions of the Settlement Agreement. The Notice Administrator shall disseminate the Mailed Notices, Published Notices and Claim Forms per the Notice Plan on or before April 30, 2016. Within fifteen (15) days after the deadline to mail the Notices and Claim Forms to Settlement Class Members, the Notice Administrator shall provide declarations to the Court, with a copy to Class Counsel and Counsel for Defendant BrassCraft, attesting to the measures undertaken to provide Notices and Claim Forms to the Settlement Class.
- 5. The Court preliminarily certifies a class for settlement purposes only, consisting of persons who meet the following criteria:

All Persons that own or have owned a Residential Property Unit(s) and/or a Commercial Property Unit(s) located in the United States that contain or have ever contained a Covered Product manufactured on or before the Effective Date.

- 6. Excluded from the Settlement Class are:
  - a) Persons who validly and timely exclude themselves using the procedure set forth in Paragraphs 8.3 through 8.5 of the Settlement Agreement;
  - b) Retailers, wholesalers, and claims aggregators or persons or entities who claim to be an assignee of rights associated with any of the Covered Products, except associations of homeowners may seek Settlement Benefits for common areas, only;
  - Except as specified above, insurers and/or providers of extended service contracts or warranties for the Settlement Class Structures; and
  - d) The Honorable Jane Johnson and members of her family.
- 7. If, for any reason, the proposed settlement is not approved, the proposed settlement and any order certifying a settlement class or subclass shall be vacated *nunc pro tunc* and the Litigation shall proceed as though the Settlement Class had never been certified, without prejudice to the Parties' rights to either request or oppose class certification for purposes of litigation.
- 8. In making the findings set forth in Paragraphs 5 and 6 herein, the Court has exercised its discretion in conditionally certifying the Settlement Class on a nationwide basis. The Court designates Named Plaintiffs Miles Houze, Susan

9

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

2223

24

25

26

2728

Houze, Kevin Ngai, Marcia Price, and Henry Okonkwo as the Class Representatives.

- 9. The Court hereby appoints the following attorneys as counsel for the Settlement Class: Kenneth S. Kasdan, Graham B. LippSmith, and Michael D. Turner of Kasdan LippSmith Weber Turner LLP, and the successors of these attorneys and this law firm. For purposes of these settlement proceedings, the Court finds that these counsel are competent and capable of exercising their responsibility as Class Counsel.
- 10. The Settlement Agreement is for settlement purposes only. Neither the fact of, any provision contained in, nor any action taken under the Settlement Agreement shall be construed as an admission of the validity of any claim, argument claiming offensive collateral estoppel, or any factual allegation that was or could have been made by Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Members in the Action, or of any wrongdoing, fault, violation of law, or liability of any kind on the part of BrassCraft. The Settlement Agreement shall not be offered or be admissible in evidence against BrassCraft or cited or referred to in any other action or proceeding against BrassCraft, except for an action or proceeding (1) brought by or against the Parties to enforce or otherwise implement the terms of the Settlement Agreement; (2) involving any Plaintiff or Settlement Class Member to support a defense of res judicata, defense of collateral estoppel, defense of release, or other theory of claim preclusion, issue preclusion, or similar defense; or (3) involving an attempt to enforce a stay of other litigation pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and the Court's Order preliminarily approving the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. Anyone who wishes to be excluded from the Settlement Class must submit a written request for exclusion (in the form set forth in the Mailed Notice, Published Notice, Settlement Agreement, and at <a href="https://www.BCyellowbrasssettlement.com">www.BCyellowbrasssettlement.com</a>) by sending it to the Notice Administrator at

the address in Paragraph 13, below, by first-class U.S. mail. Requests for exclusion must contain all information described in the Settlement Agreement, and otherwise meet the requirements set forth in that agreement. The envelope containing the request for exclusion must be postmarked no later than June 29, 2016.

- 12. Any Settlement Class Member who does not submit a request for exclusion in accordance with the deadlines and other specifications set forth in this Order and the Settlement Agreement shall be bound by all proceedings, orders, and judgments of this Court pertaining to the Settlement Class.
- 13. Any Settlement Class Member who intends to object to the fairness, reasonableness, or adequacy of the proposed Settlement should mail a written objection to BrassCraft Notice Administrator, P.O. Box 40007, College Station, TX 77842-4007, by first-class mail with postage paid. Objecting Settlement Class Members should state in writing whether they intend to appear at the Fairness Hearing(s) either with or without separate counsel. The Notice Administrator will then serve any Objections received on Class Counsel, Counsel for Defendant BrassCraft, and all other parties due notice in this case via Case Anywhere. The Notice Administrator will also file any Objections received with the Court. Objections must contain all information described in the Settlement Agreement, and otherwise meet the requirements of that agreement. The envelope containing any written objection to the Settlement must be postmarked no later than June 29, 2016. Only Settlement Class Members may object to the Settlement.
- 14. In addition to its obligation to serve and file objections, the Notice Administrator will also serve any notice of a Settlement Class Member's intention to appear at the Fairness Hearing and associated briefing received on Class Counsel, Counsel for Defendant BrassCraft, and all other parties due notice in this case via Case Anywhere. The Notice Administrator will also file any such notices of a Settlement Class Member's intention to appear at the Fairness Hearing and associated briefing with the Court by filing such documents directly or arranging

for such documents to be filed by Class Counsel or Counsel for Defendant BrassCraft.

- 15. The Court will consider oral objections made at the Fairness Hearing even if they were not made in writing in advance of the Fairness Hearing.

  Settlement Class Members who fail to file and serve timely Objections in the manner specified above, or fail to make any objection orally at the Fairness Hearing, shall be deemed to have waived any objections and shall be foreclosed from making any objection (whether by appeal or otherwise) to the Settlement.
- 16. Plaintiffs shall file their briefs in support of settlement approval and any requests for attorney fees, costs reimbursement and class representative incentive awards on or before June 24, 2016. Plaintiffs and Defendant BrassCraft shall file responses to written Objections made by Settlement Class Members no later than July 10, 2016.
- 17. On August 11, 2016 at 9:00 a.m., the Court will hold the Fairness Hearing. It shall be held in Department 308 of the Los Angeles County Superior Court, located at 600 South Commonwealth Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90005. The Fairness Hearing may be continued or rescheduled by the Court with notice to Class Counsel, Counsel for Defendant BrassCraft, and any objecting Settlement Class Member who has filed a notice of intention to appear in accordance with Paragraph 13 of this Order. At the Fairness Hearing, or as soon thereafter as practicable, the Court will determine whether the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and whether it should be approved by the Court. At the Fairness Hearing, the Court will also consider the amount of attorney fees, costs reimbursement and class representative incentive awards. If appropriate, the Court will issue a Final Order and Judgment memorializing its decision, in the form contemplated by the Settlement Agreement.
- 18. Pending further orders by this Court, all proceedings in this case and all other cases raising related issues shall be stayed, as to all claims concerning